

# Towards Scene Understanding for Autonomous Operations on Airport Aprons

## Supplementary Material

Daniel Steininger<sup>[0000-0003-3810-1803]</sup>, Andreas Kriegler<sup>[0000-0002-5653-5181]</sup>,  
Wolfgang Pointner<sup>[0000-0002-3141-8405]</sup>, Verena Widhalm<sup>[0000-0001-9318-1418]</sup>,  
Julia Simon<sup>[0000-0003-0243-9706]</sup>, and Oliver Zendel<sup>[0000-0001-8097-1226]</sup>

AIT Austrian Institute of Technology  
{daniel.steininger, andreas.kriegler, wolfgang.pointner, verena.widhalm,  
julia.simon, oliver.zendel}@ait.ac.at

The following sections provide additional details regarding dataset statistics and evaluation results complementing the information in the main paper.

## A Dataset Statistics

A statistical overview of all annotated image parameters is given in Table 1. Additionally, the full labeling scheme of all dataset variants is presented in Table 2 along with the numbers of instances and object occurrence for each class.

**Table 1.** Absolute numbers of instances for each parameter presented in Fig. 4 of the main paper

		<b>Instances</b>
<b>Time of Day</b>	Day	76149
	Twilight	41049
	Night	51975
<b>Lighting</b>	Sunny	49994
	Diffuse	64731
<b>Degradation</b>	Low	137943
	High	31348
<b>Occlusion</b>	True	153851
	False	15440
<b>Object Size</b>	Small	100180
	Medium	30554
	Large	38557
<b>Atmosphere</b>	Clear	158304
	Rain	8295
	H. Rain	856
	Fog	1525
	Snow	0

**Table 2.** Overview of all object classes in the original Apron dataset *Fine*, included classes in the *Top* and mapping scheme for the *Coarse* variants. The right-most columns list the total numbers of instances for each class and images containing them

<b>Fine</b>	<b>Top</b>	<b>Coarse</b>	<b>Instances</b>	<b>Occurrences</b>
Safety Vehicle	✓	Safety Vehicle	1179	1094
Business Jet	✓	Business Jet	9623	4384
Cargo Airplane	✓	Cargo Airplane	5122	4322
Passenger Jet	✓		1382	999
Common Aircraft	✓	Common Aircraft	3037	1847
Container Trolley	✓		778	340
Container Trolley Type A	✓	Container Trolley	27837	5806
Container Trolley Type B	✓			
Container Trolley Type C			199	85
Conveyor Vehicle	✓	Conveyor Vehicle	1933	1430
Helicopter		Helicopter	397	346
Rescue Helicopter			147	142
Loading Ramp	✓	Loading Ramp	1049	1048
Loading Vehicle	✓	Loading Vehicle	3754	3136
Other Objects		Other Objects	755	739
Ambulance Vehicle			3	3
Cleaning Vehicle			78	45
Fire Truck	✓		917	876
Forklift			116	96
Other Vehicles	✓	Other Vehicles	6770	4042
Sanitary Truck	✓		2403	2145
Snowplow			123	120
Standard Truck			723	597
Tow Vehicle			227	211
Tractor			133	130
Passenger Bus	✓	Passenger Bus	4612	2276
Passenger Stairway	✓	Passenger Stairway	6535	3963
Person w/ Reflective Vests	✓	Person	11932	4104
Person			637	386
Standard Car	✓	Standard Car	10287	5015
Tank Truck	✓	Tank Truck	1103	1068
Taxiing Vehicle	✓	Taxiing Vehicle	6909	3982
Traffic Barrier		Traffic Barrier	675	185
Traffic Cone		Traffic Cone	165	87
Traffic Cone - Standard	✓		10271	4108
Traffic Cone - Off	✓	Traffic Cone Light	1333	487
Traffic Cone - On	✓		5600	1423
Pedestrian Crossing			120	103
Speed Limit 30		Traffic Sign	461	438
Traffic Sign			193	183
Warning Sign			317	275
Transport Container	✓	Transport Container	28254	6613
Transport Vehicle	✓	Transport Vehicle	6489	4461

## B Evaluation details

### B.1 Classification

Tables 3 and 4 show the impact of environmental conditions on classification performance of EfficientNet-B3 as the absolute deviations from overall Recall and Precision values on the corresponding test sets, used as a basis for  $f_1$  scores in Table 2 of the main paper. For comparison, Table 5 presents the corresponding top-1 accuracy deviations.

**Table 3.** Average impact of environmental conditions on classification recall

	Time of day			Lighting		Degradation		Atmosphere	
	Day	Twilight	Night	Sunny	Diffuse	Low	High	Clear	Rain
<b>F</b>	0.001	-0.008	0.002	0.038	-0.009	0.000	-0.011	0.005	-0.043
<b>T</b>	-0.002	0.012	-0.014	0.009	0.006	0.006	-0.039	0.000	-0.003
<b>C</b>	0.010	-0.027	-0.015	0.027	-0.009	0.010	0.007	0.002	-0.024
<b>∅</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>-0.008</b>	<b>-0.009</b>	<b>0.025</b>	<b>-0.004</b>	<b>0.005</b>	<b>-0.014</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>-0.023</b>

**Table 4.** Average impact of environmental conditions on classification precision

	Time of day			Lighting		Degradation		Atmosphere	
	Day	Twilight	Night	Sunny	Diffuse	Low	High	Clear	Rain
<b>F</b>	-0.049	-0.049	-0.014	-0.017	-0.006	-0.013	-0.001	0.005	-0.170
<b>T</b>	0.009	0.000	-0.011	0.002	0.006	0.003	-0.015	0.001	-0.014
<b>C</b>	0.003	0.002	-0.007	0.009	-0.005	0.007	-0.027	0.002	-0.052
<b>∅</b>	<b>-0.012</b>	<b>-0.016</b>	<b>-0.011</b>	<b>-0.002</b>	<b>-0.002</b>	<b>-0.001</b>	<b>-0.014</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>-0.079</b>

**Table 5.** Average impact of environmental conditions on classification top-1 accuracy

	Time of day			Lighting		Degradation		Atmosphere	
	Day	Twilight	Night	Sunny	Diffuse	Low	High	Clear	Rain
<b>F</b>	0.007	-0.003	-0.006	0.013	-0.003	0.003	-0.014	0.002	-0.043
<b>T</b>	0.008	-0.006	-0.008	0.009	-0.002	0.004	-0.020	0.002	-0.033
<b>C</b>	0.005	-0.005	-0.005	0.011	-0.006	0.006	-0.024	0.001	-0.025
<b>∅</b>	<b>0.007</b>	<b>-0.005</b>	<b>-0.006</b>	<b>0.011</b>	<b>-0.004</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>-0.019</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>-0.034</b>

## B.2 Detection

Tables 6, 7 and 8 show the individual results for each model architecture, summarized in Table 5 of the main paper, as the absolute deviation from overall detection *APs* presented in Table 4 of the main paper on the test sets of the *Fine* (F), *Top* (T) and *Coarse* (C) dataset variants.

**Table 6.** Average impact of environmental conditions for the YOLOv5s architecture

	Time of day			Lighting		Degradation		Atmosphere	
	Day	Twilight	Night	Sunny	Diffuse	Low	High	Clear	Rain
<b>F</b>	0.048	-0.005	-0.007	0.048	0.098	0.006	-0.004	0.001	0.083
<b>T</b>	0.002	0.013	0.004	0.003	-0.002	0.002	-0.016	0.002	-0.025
<b>C</b>	0.019	-0.009	-0.003	0.027	-0.012	0.010	-0.034	0.002	-0.035
<b>∅</b>	<b>0.023</b>	<b>0.000</b>	<b>-0.002</b>	<b>0.026</b>	<b>0.028</b>	<b>0.006</b>	<b>-0.018</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.008</b>

**Table 7.** Average impact of environmental conditions for the YOLOv5m architecture

	Time of day			Lighting		Degradation		Atmosphere	
	Day	Twilight	Night	Sunny	Diffuse	Low	High	Clear	Rain
<b>F</b>	0.038	-0.005	0.001	0.039	-0.008	0.002	-0.002	0.002	0.046
<b>T</b>	-0.001	0.010	0.005	-0.001	-0.009	-0.003	-0.019	-0.001	0.010
<b>C</b>	0.019	-0.011	-0.003	0.026	-0.008	0.010	-0.031	0.004	-0.041
<b>∅</b>	<b>0.019</b>	<b>-0.002</b>	<b>0.001</b>	<b>0.021</b>	<b>-0.008</b>	<b>0.003</b>	<b>-0.017</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.005</b>

**Table 8.** Average impact of environmental conditions for the YOLOv5l architecture

	Time of day			Lighting		Degradation		Atmosphere	
	Day	Twilight	Night	Sunny	Diffuse	Low	High	Clear	Rain
<b>F</b>	0.030	-0.003	0.003	0.024	-0.010	-0.002	0.013	0.002	0.045
<b>T</b>	0.007	0.069	0.007	-0.003	0.011	0.006	-0.029	0.000	-0.042
<b>C</b>	0.009	0.001	-0.003	0.022	-0.010	0.008	-0.025	0.003	-0.047
<b>∅</b>	<b>0.015</b>	<b>0.022</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>0.014</b>	<b>-0.003</b>	<b>0.004</b>	<b>-0.014</b>	<b>0.002</b>	<b>-0.015</b>